TUNNELLING APPLICATIONS: CONCRETE SEGMENT REINFORCEMENT

Because we are tunnelling
INTRODUCTION

This text is meant primarily for those who are active in construction (customers, contractors, consulting engineers, construction firms), more specifically in the field of underground structures constructed by mechanical excavation using tunnel boring machines.

For many years, steel fibres have been used as reinforcement in precast segment tunnel linings in many parts of the world (United States, Europe, etc.). However, their application and use in this domain has been stifled due to the limited, or even absent, regulatory framework covering this type of product. With the publication of European standards, specifically dealing with steel fibres, and the existence of international standards that serve as model code, the use of fibre concrete in structures has now become possible.

Multiple research studies and tests on the behaviour of Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete (SFRC) have been carried out in recent years in various countries (United States, Canada, France, Belgium, Great Britain, Italy, Switzerland, Japan, etc.). They have greatly contributed to a better characterization of SFRC, and have thus allowed for a better understanding of its behaviour and to specify minimum performance requirements for each project.

This document aims at providing recommendations on the design, sizing and construction of precast segments installed at the rear of a tunnel boring machine, in continuation of the existing recommendation published for reinforced concrete segments.

The aim of this brochure is to familiarize the reader with the behaviour of SFRC, to draw his attention to the specific characteristics of this product when applied for precast segments, to underline the importance of test scale 1 - performance criteria described by the model code, and to propose relevant technical and economical solutions for implementation.

Benoît De Rivaz
Global Business Development Manager

“The unique combination of technical expertise and innovative fibre solutions always results in the lowest cost of ownership for our tunneling projects”

1. Application Field

Steel fibres are a technically viable alternative for traditional mesh and rebar reinforcement. The homogeneous fibre distribution makes it possible to absorb tensile stresses in any point and any direction of the concrete segment. As a result, cracking and spalling resistance is considerably improved. For large diameters and/or in difficult ground conditions, it may be recommended to consider using a reinforcement mix of rebar and steel fibres.

This document discusses the design, test methods, performance criteria and applications of precast segments with Dramix® steel fibre concrete.

2. Materials

2.1 Concrete

Concrete quality in accordance with EN206, produced and delivered according to local concrete standards.

The grading of aggregates will be in accordance with the applicable standards. The Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete (SFRC) mix design is determined by the same principles which apply to concrete mix design. The prime factors controlling strength and quality are the water/cement ratio, the grading of the aggregates and the degree of consolidation achieved. However, there are a number of design considerations in which SFRC differs from conventional structurally reinforced concrete. The major differences lie in the aggregate grading and the cementitious content of the concrete design. The concrete composition must be engineered in order to obtain homogeneous distribution of the steel fibres and good finishing ability (Baron Lesage methodology is recommended).

For detailed information, please consult our recommendations on handling, dosing and mixing, as well as our product data sheets. (available upon request)

The concrete class is always a compromise between many requirements:

- Durability
- Minimum compressive/tensile strength required by the project for demoulding, stacking, installation and service life of the ring
- No brittle failure to avoid spalling and bursting

Many projects require concrete that is situated around C50/60.
2.2 Steel fibres

Dramix® steel fibres are designed especially for the reinforcement of concrete. They are made of prime quality hard-drawn steel wire to ensure high tensile strength at extreme close tolerances. Provided with hooked ends, they deliver optimum anchorage.

Minimum fibre recommendations for precast segments

1. Fibres to comply with European standard EN14889-1 and ISO 13270: minimum dosage (kg/m³) required per fibre type.
2. Fibres with CE marking system 1, steel fibre for structural use (in conformance with EN14889-1-2006). For more details, please request our CE info sheet.

According to EN14889, a minimum performance level must be reached. As such for every fibre type a minimum dosage is required to have CE marking system 1.

3. Fibres out of drawn wire, with a tensile strength of steel wire > 1500 MPa min
   (The tensile strength of the wire must be consistent with the one of the matrix, for High Performance Concrete (HPC) steel wire with a high tensile strength is required.)

4. Dimensional tolerances in accordance with the table below:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Property</th>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Deviation of the individual value relative to the declared value</th>
<th>Deviation of the average value relative to the declared value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Length and developed height</td>
<td>l, l₁</td>
<td>± 10 %</td>
<td>± 5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 30 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>± 1,5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 30 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Equivalent) diameter</td>
<td>d</td>
<td>± 10 %</td>
<td>± 5 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 0,30 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>± 0,015 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≤ 0,30 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length/diameter ratio</td>
<td>λ</td>
<td>± 15 %</td>
<td>± 7,5 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The length shall be measured with a marking gauge (accuracy of 0,1 mm). In an irregular cross section, the developed length of the fibre shall also be determined to calculate the equivalent diameter. Straightening of the fibre is necessary; it shall be done by hand or, if this is not possible, by hammering on a level of wood, plastic material or copper using a hammer or a similar tool. While straightening, the cross section must stay unchanged. The diameter of the fibre shall be measured with a micrometer, in two directions, approximately at right angles, to an accuracy of 0,01 mm. The fibre diameter shall be the mean of the two measured diameters.

5. Best anchoring system: hooked ends
6. Fibre length: in the range of 50 mm to 60 mm
7. l/d ratio: a minimum of 65, 80 is recommended.
8. Minimum fibre length: 2,5 times the maximum coarse aggregate size
9. Glued fibres for improved homogeneous distribution

2.3 Steel fibre reinforced concrete

The performance of Dramix® reinforced concrete is mainly determined by the following characteristics:

- The performance of the fibre in the matrix (geometry, length/diameter ratio, method of anchorage, tensile strength, and homogeneous distribution of the fibres.)
- The performance of the concrete matrix
- The amount of fibres in the mix

2.3.1 Minimum dosage based on L/D ratio

The formula and “s” limits are taken from the thesis of D.C. Mc Kee, University of Illinois, Urbana 1969: “The properties of an expansive mortar reinforced with random wire fibres.”

Minimum dosages of steel fibres based on different aspect ratios and steel fibre spacing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect ratio (l/d₁/y)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>55</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>80</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overlap factor = 1,66: min dosage (kg/m³)</td>
<td>&gt; 50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>Combined solution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap factor = 1,8: min dosage (kg/m³)</td>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>SFRC ONLY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note
1. The steel fibre dosages recommended above are not fixed.
2. The design engineer may at any time choose to use a different steel fibre spacing which ensures a different degree of network.
3. For precast segment linings, recommendation is to use an overlap factor = 1,66 for combined solutions with conventional rebars & an overlap factor = 1,8 to 2,0 for applications 100% steel fibre reinforcement (structural applications). The consideration of steel fibre spacing requirements ensures that there will be improvements in concrete crack widths and impact resistance compared to wire mesh reinforced concrete.
4. Final decision on which dosage to use will be determined by residual strength minimum (based on the EN14651 notched beam test)

-  \( f_{c} \): requirement for SLS design.
-  \( f_{y} \) and \( f_{y} \) for SLS + ULS design.
2.3.2 Performance criteria:

The international model code edited in 2010 mentioned:

“Fibre-reinforced concrete is concrete to which fibres have been added to provide the material with specific characteristics, essentially a certain tensile capacity in the cracked region of the cement matrix which could either provide the material with significant tensile strength to reduce or remove the reinforcement, to contribute to mitigating cracking phenomena, or to significantly increase the energy absorbed in the cracking process (conventionally defined as “toughness”). Some types of fibres can also improve resistance to spalling due to high temperatures. Fibres can also reduce the brittleness of concrete in compression, especially in high or ultra-high strength concrete.”

In order to classify the post cracking strength of SFRC, a linear elastic behaviour can be assumed, by considering the residual strength determined according to EN14651 (“Test method for metallic fibered concrete - Measuring the flexural tensile strength (Limit of Proportionality (LOP)), described in 2.3.3 for:

- Service Limit State (SLS): \( f_{R1} \) (CMOD = 0.5 mm) is considering
- Ultimate Limit State (ULS): \( f_{R3} \) (CMOD = 2.5 mm) is considering

The designer has to specify the residual strength and the toughness required by the project.

Min. average residual flexural strength is measured with standard EN14651.

\( f_{R1} \) is the residual average flexural tensile strength corresponding with CMOD = 0.5 mm.

\( f_{R3} \) is the average residual flexural tensile strength corresponding with CMOD = 2.5 mm.

A minimum average value \( f_{R1} \) m and \( f_{R3} \) should be indicated according to the performance required by the project (the average value is to be measured on min. 6 beams for pre-construction tests (9 recommended, and on min. 4 beams for continuous quality control).

The variation (standard deviation/average) may not be larger than 25%.

A minimum toughness index should also be required.

SLS design: \( f_{R1}/f_c \) minimum should be required > 0.9

ULS designs: \( f_{R3}/f_c \) minimum (> 0.9 recommended) + \( f_{R3}/f_{R1} \) (> 0.7 recommended)

Example:

If you proposed the concrete mix C50/60 (LOP 7 Mpa), the relevant specification should be as follows:

- The beam results comply with the specified residual flexural strength as defined in EN14651.
- The average residual flexural strength at first crack \( f_{R1} \) is greater or equal to 7 N/mm².
- The average residual flexural strength \( f_{R1} \) (CMOD = 0.5 mm) obtained from 6 consecutive tests exceeds > 6 Mpa and \( f_{R1}/f_{c} \) > 0.80 in order to guarantee toughness index > 90%.
- The average residual flexural strength \( f_{R3} \) (CMOD = 2.5 mm) obtained from 6 consecutive tests exceeds > 5 Mpa and \( f_{R3}/f_{R1} \) > 0.75 in order to guarantee toughness index > 70%.
- The variation (standard deviation/average) may not be larger than 25%.

2.3.3 Testing procedure EN14651

The tensile behaviour of SFRC is evaluated in terms of residual flexural tensile strength values, determined from the load-crack mouth opening displacement curve, or load-deflection curve, obtained by applying a centre-point load on a simply supported notched prism.

The test specimens shall be prisms conforming to EN12390-1 with a section of 150_+5 (-5) (between the moulded surfaces) x 150_+5 (-5) (between trowelled and bottom moulded face) mm, and a length between 550 and 700 mm. A length of 600 mm is often used in practice.

Two methods can be used to fill the moulds. Preferably, the specimens are made under lab conditions. In this case, a pan mixer shall be used to mix the concrete, and all the ingredients must be weighed accurately. Aggregates, sand and cement are added to the mixer (in this order) and mixed for 60 seconds. Then the water is added, and the concrete is mixed for another 60 seconds. After this step, the fibres are added, and mixed for 270 seconds to make sure that all fibres are separated and homogeneously distributed. The mixer can be stopped now, and a visual inspection of the distribution of the fibres needs to be performed. Filling the moulds is done in one go, up to a height of 110 % of the mould. The concrete is then vibrated on a vibrating table (unless it is self-compacting concrete), and levelled off during vibration. Full compaction is achieved when there is no further appearance of large air bubbles on the concrete surface, and the surface is becoming relatively smooth with a glazed appearance, without excessive segregation. Internal vibration is not recommended, in order not to disturb the 3-dimensional homogeneous distribution of the fibres. If a vibration needle is used, the zone around the vibration needle will contain less fibres than the rest of the beam.

If no laboratory is available, the alternative method for filling the moulds, as described below, should be considered. The size of increment 1 should be twice the increment 2. The mould should be filled up to approximately 90 % of the height of the test specimen before compaction. The mould should be topped up and levelled off while being compacted. Compaction should be carried out by external vibration. In the case of self-compacting SFRC, the mould should be filled and levelled off without any compaction.

Curing of the test specimens is equal as for the EN14488-5 square panels, and shall thus be performed according to EN12390-2. The specimens should be left in the moulds for at least 16 hours, but no longer than 3 days, protected against shocks, vibration and dehydration at a temperature of 20_k 1°C. After removal from the mould, the specimens shall be rotated over 90° around their longitudinal axis and then sawn through the specimen width at mid-span.
The width of the notch shall be 5 mm or less, and the distance of h_{sp} shall be 125 ± 1 mm.

The test specimens shall be cured for a minimum of 3 days after sawing until at least 3 hours before testing, with water at a temperature of 20 ± 2 °C, or in a chamber at 20 ± 2 °C and there must be a relative humidity of minimum 95%. Regular checks should be done, so that the surfaces of the specimens in the chamber are continuously wet. Loss of moisture and deviations from the required temperature should be avoided at all stages of transport, for example, by packing the hardened specimens in wet sand, wet sawdust or wet clothes, or transporting them in sealed plastic bags containing water. Normally, testing shall be performed at 28 days.

Testing of the specimens is done in a 3-point bending test, but can be performed in two ways. In the first method, the Crack (or notch) Mouth Opening Displacement (CMOD) is measured, and a displacement transducer is mounted along the longitudinal axis at the mid-width of the test specimen. The distance between the bottom of the specimen and the line of measurement shall be less than 5 mm.

A second possibility is to measure the deflection instead of the CMOD. In that case, a displacement transducer shall be mounted on a rigid frame that is fixed to the test specimen at mid-height over the supports. One end of the frame should be fixed to the specimen with a sliding fixture, and the other end with a rotating fixture. A thin plate fixed at one end can be placed at mid-width across the notch mouth at the point of measurement. The tests are preferably deflection controlled. To control the test with a CMOD, knives need to be glued next to the notch. It is possible that they come loose during the test due to a bad interlayer between the knives and the concrete. It is easier to mechanically fix the deflection transducer to the concrete specimens. Less test specimens and test results will be lost in this way.
The testing machine should be capable of operating in a controlled manner, producing a constant rate of displacement (CMOD or deflection), and have a sufficient stiffness to avoid unstable zones in the load-CMOD curve or the load-deflection curve. A total stiffness of the system of 200 kN/mm (including frame, load cell, loading device and supports) is advised. All rollers should be made of steel and have a circular cross section with a diameter of 30.1 mm. Two of the rollers, including the upper one, shall be capable of rotating freely around their axis and of being inclined in a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis of the test specimen. The distance between the centres of the supporting rollers shall be equal to 500 ± 2 mm. The load measuring device needs an accuracy of 0.1 kN and the linear displacement transducer needs an accuracy of 0.01 mm. The data recording system should be able to record load and displacement at a rate not less than 5 Hz.

In case of a testing machine controlling the rate of CMOD increase, the machine shall operate from the start of the test with a CMOD increase of 0.05 mm/min and a datalogging minimum of 5 Hz. When CMOD = 0.1 mm, the machine shall operate at a CMOD increase of 0.2 mm/min and a datalogging minimum of 1 Hz. The test shall not be terminated before a CMOD value of 4 mm. In case of controlling the deflection increase, the machine shall start the test with a deflection increase of 0.08 mm/min with a datalogging minimum of 5 Hz. When the deflection reaches 0.125 mm, the deflection increase shall be changed to 0.21 mm/min until a final deflection of 3.5 mm, and a datalogging minimum of 1 Hz. If the crack starts outside the notch, the test result should be rejected.

The test results that need to be expressed are the Limit of Proportionality (LOP) and the residual flexural strength (see Figure 7).

The limit of proportionality $f_{ct,L}$ is calculated as:

$$f_{ct,L} = \frac{3F_i}{2bh}$$

where $F_i$ is the maximum load between CMOD 0 and 0.05 mm or deflection 0 and 0.08 mm.

The residual flexural strength $f_{R,i}$ needs to be evaluated at four different displacements.

$$f_{R,i} = \frac{3F_i}{2bh}$$

where $F_i$ is the residual load at:

- $i = 1$: CMOD = 0.5 mm or deflection 0.47 mm
- $i = 2$: CMOD = 1.5 mm or deflection 1.32 mm
- $i = 3$: CMOD = 2.5 mm or deflection 2.17 mm
- $i = 4$: CMOD = 3.5 mm or deflection 3.02 mm

$l$ = the span between the supports (nominal distance 500 mm)
$b$ = the width of the concrete sample (nominal value 150 mm)
$h$ = the residual height of the concrete sample (nominal value 125 mm)
Acting force

The final loading situation of circular, segmental tunnel linings is typically characterized by the dominance of compressive normal forces combined with relatively small bending moments. This allows normal forces combined with relatively small bending moments. This allows for the application of SFRC segments without conventional reinforcement. Compared to traditionally reinforced segments, no concrete cover is needed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Demolding</th>
<th>Stacking</th>
<th>Transport &amp; Placing</th>
<th>Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Tension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Compression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Impact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Shrinkage &amp; Temperature Effects</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Application of SFRC is possible by selecting a static system for the tunnel ring in such a way that there is minimal stress from bending moments.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Case</th>
<th>Rebar</th>
<th>Steel fibre</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ground loading</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Handling and stacking</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolting</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Erection</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grout Pressure</td>
<td>✓</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ram Loading</td>
<td>?</td>
<td>✓</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The heavy jack or ram loads are being applied at the outer unreinforced concrete skin of the segments. When spalling occurs, a traditionally reinforced segment has to be repaired or even replaced for obvious reasons of durability. This however delays the tunnel construction progress and is a very expensive operation.

Spalling in segments

Immediate support of the excavated ground is supplied by the shield and will be taken over by the segmental lining.

Once the segments have been assembled within the shield, hydraulic jacks located at the tail-end of the shield will be activated and the whole shield assembly will be jacked forward using the last completed ring as a brace.

The thrust loads acting in the cross section of the tunnel induce spalling and bursting stresses in the radial joints of the tunnel segments.

Spalling may also be induced by:
- exceeding the load bearing capacity of the segments due to outside ground and water pressure;
- geometrical inaccuracies of the segments, which can be due to the production or installation.

Bursting in segments occurs from two different types of loads:

Immediate support of the excavated ground is supplied by the shield and will be taken over by the segmental lining.

With traditional reinforcement reparation should be done

Immediate support of the excavated ground is supplied by the shield and will be taken over by the segmental lining.

- Minimal concrete cover requirements for corrosion combined with...
- Particular edge shapes leads to ...
- Vulnerable edges
- Spalling at a joint with a particularly vulnerable profile
Why SFRC?

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Economical Advantages</th>
<th>Benefits</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>homogeneous distribution</td>
<td>steel fibres, present close to the surface, ensure excellent reinforcement at the joints of segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>multidirectional reinforcement</td>
<td>steel fibres provide a resistance to stress in all directions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>increased load bearing capacity</td>
<td>steel fibres provide a substantial increase in load capacity to first crack and ultimate load at the joints</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>high impact resistance</td>
<td>the absorbed energy by the steel fibre reinforced concrete during impact is many times greater than the energy absorption of plain concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>excellent control of shrinkage cracks</td>
<td>the high number of steel fibres present in the concrete ensure a good control of shrinkage cracks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Best durability solution available</td>
<td>Several research investigations have shown that the durability of steel fibre reinforced concrete (SFRC) under chloride exposure is superior to the one of steel bar reinforced concrete (RC).</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Economical Advantages:
- Increase of productivity, time saving
- Reduction of repair cost of damage segments
- Elimination of storage and positioning of reinforcement cages
- Use of automatic dosing and dispensing equipment linked to the control panel of the batching plant

4. Testing scale 1 to confirm the positive behaviour of SFRC

The results of full scale tests on precast segments made for the Monte Lirio Tunnel in Panama are presented herein. The segments were cast in fibre reinforced concrete and were produced without any traditional reinforcement, by using fibre made in high strength steel.

The segments are cast with a concrete reinforced with Dramix® hooked glued fibres made in high strength steel (Rm, nom = 1500 N/mm²), E modulus 210 000 N/mm², having a length of 60 mm and a diameter equal to 0,75 mm (aspect ratio equal to 80).

4.1 Prequalification test to determine $f_{R1}$ and $f_{R3}$ according to EN14651

The tensile behavior of the materials has been characterized by performing bending tests on notched beams. The tests were performed according to the EN14651 European code. The compressive strength of the materials was measured by testing cube having a side of 150 mm. For every cast made for the production of every single segment, three beams were produced. In particular it is worth remarking that, in agreement with EN14651, the nominal strength in correspondence to four different mouth crack openings - CMOD (0,5 - 1,5 - 2,5 - 3,5 mm) are evaluated.

This graph shows a typical result of the EN14651 beam tests, whereas the significant strength values, (FL peak force, $f_{R1}$, and $f_{R3}$ stresses related to crack openings equal to 0,5 and 2,5 mm, respectively) are the reference ones for the segment design performed according to the FIB Model Code 2010 prescriptions.

![Graph showing beam test results](image)
4.2 Test scale 1 to confirm the behaviour

The tests were performed with the loading set-up illustrated in Figures, in displacement control, by adopting a 1000 kN electromechanical jacket, with a PID control and by imposing a stroke speed of 10 μm/sec.

The segments were placed on a cylindrical support with a span of 1200 mm and the load, applied at mid-span, was transversally distributed by adopting a steel beam as shown in the picture.

In this brochure, the results of a full-scale test on precast segments, produced for the Monte Lirio Tunnel in Panama, are presented. The fibre reinforced concrete was produced by using high-strength steel fibres. The fibre content was equal to 40 kg/m³ (0.51% by volume). In particular, bending tests were performed in order to check the bearing capacity of the segments under flexural actions that occur in the demoulding, handling, storage phases as well as in the in-situ condition (due to asymmetric soil pressure). Furthermore, point load tests simulating the TBM thrust were performed, in order to study the construction phase (excavation).

The results show that the segment response largely respects the design prescription, allowing for a promising solution for the precast tunnel construction.

Key learning point: 40kg/m³ Dramix® 4D 80/60BG will provide hardening post-crack behaviour.

Many other tests have been conducted over the past 20 years (longitudinal joints, transversal joints, point load tests ...). Reports are available on request.

5. Return of experience

Many projects have been realized since 1994 using Dramix® reinforcement. These projects represent hundreds of kilometers of tunnel constructions.

Dramix® remains the reference product

SFRC ONLY

Combined solution

Low amount of rebars for critical bending moment + SF

Low amount of rebars for bursting + SF

SFRC segments have high durability as fibres are non-continuous and discrete so provide no mechanism for propagation of corrosion activity. Any cracks that develop are restrained by the fibres and are relatively fine so any water ingress will ultimately result in the crack sealing autogenously. As the fibres are randomly distributed throughout the concrete and are present close to the surface, damage at the edges and corners of lining segments during handling is less likely than with unreinforced or conventionally reinforced segments. Tests shown impact resistance of SFRC to be 20 times higher than for unreinforced concrete.

The construction of many projects have proven the effectiveness of using steel reinforced concrete for segmentally lined tunnels constructed using EPBMs. The durability of the segments is considered to be the best available as the risk of corrosion has been eliminated. The damage to segments during manufacture and erection in the tunnel that occurred has set new benchmarks for tunnel construction with the added benefit in a reduction in the need to undertake repairs.

Combined solution

Low amount of rebars for critical bending moment + SF

Low amount of rebars for bursting + SF
Using Dramix®, we were convinced that steel fibre reinforced segments are the future in tunnel construction. Bekaert’s economical design helped us save more than 10% on the estimated installation costs. Not only did the fibres significantly improve the handling capacity and surface finish between the Channel and St Pancras station in London. As explained in AFTES (French Tunnelling Association) recommendation “the design, sizing and construction of precast concrete segments installed at the rear of a tunnel boring machine”, it is essential to state that there is no unique design for segmental lining. Very often design is based on the experience and skills acquired by the consulting engineers and contractors on past projects.

### 6. Design approach

The precast segments have to resist bending moments and flexural stresses when being demoulded and transported to the storage facilities located outside the precast building. They have to resist tensile thermal stresses due to temperature changes at the storage area. The heaviest loading, however, takes place when the segments are being installed and have to resist the very high jack or ram loads of the TBM when moving forward. The very complex tensile stress pattern induced by these loads requires a quite complicated steel reinforcing cage, which makes it heavy and expensive.

### 6.1 General design of SFRC linings

Today’s experience with fibre reinforced segments is based primarily on a concept of solid linings, in combination with a segment geometry in the form of rectangles + trapezoids, or parallelograms + trapezoids, or trapezoids. The same precautions as for concrete segments should be applied with regards to the contact surfaces, by devoting particular care to the clearance of the angles of the contact areas of the faces between segments and between rings.

The actual contact surface shall be taken into account for the forces to be transmitted and for the localized forces (ram pads of the tunnel boring machine). The provisions for the packing seals are identical to those for the concrete segments.

### 6.2 Assembly devices

Special attention must be paid to the design of the assembly devices, which must be assessed in close association with the mechanical capacities of the fibre concrete. Conventional assemblies include:

- Mechanical assemblages by screwing (between segments and between rings)
- Inclined anchor bolts
- Assemblies by plugs or dowel + stud (between rings)
- Assemblies (positioning) by guide bar (between segments)

Assembly or positioning by guide bar, generally a circular rod in plastic, does not require any particular precautions.

Assembly by reservation or dowel + stud may induce radial forces in the case of a press fit. (This is, for example, the case when plastic plugs are inserted directly into a concrete reservation). It is usually shrunk on either by reinforcing the faces, or with an additional turn. Since the radial forces are difficult to quantify, a test can easily be carried out based on a prismatic test specimen representative of the thickness of the segments and a press fitting of the studs to verify the strength of the concrete volume.

Assembly by screwing may prove more delicate with steel fibre reinforced segments; preference should therefore be given to the other methods, which are also increasingly being applied for safety reasons (fewer operator interventions for installing the elements) and for productivity gains in the assembly of the rings.

### 6.3 Sizing of SFRC segments

To identify, as exhaustively as possible, all load conditions that may affect the sizing of SFRC segments, it is useful to refer to the preceding recommendation on the sizing of reinforced concrete segments. When considering the sizing of a segment from an SFRC angle, a reliable technical solution can only be achieved by applying a rigorous procedure. This procedure breaks down into the following steps:

1. Definition of the dimensioning stresses in relation to the tunnel and the segments under consideration.
2. With respect to the stresses defined in step 1, sizing of the SFRC segment using the Model code edited by fib in 2010 or the PILEM guideline published in 2003
3. Execution of pre-qualification tests to verify that the proposed SFRC satisfies the mechanical properties used in the sizing.
4. Execution of control tests to monitor the quality of the SFRC used in the manufacturing of the segments. In this altogether conventional procedure, only steps 2, 3, and 4 are specific to SFRC.
6.4 Calculation

We can provide assistance for the definition of the type of fibre and dosage required for the project and checking the main load case as:

6.4.1 Demoulding

When the segments are cast horizontally, the elements are subjected to flexural stresses during demoulding. The acting flexural stresses shall be compared with the equivalent flexural strength at the moment of demoulding.

6.4.2 Stacking

If the segments are stacked horizontally on top of each other, the segments are subjected to flexural stresses due to the eccentric positioning of the studding points.

6.4.3 Spalling and bursting force at ring joint

The jack forces exerted by the (TBM) boring machine generate bursting and spalling forces. The loads acting in the cross section of the tunnel induce spalling and bursting stresses in the radial joints of the tunnel segments. A specific test program has been conducted to provide the relevant material properties to take in account in the calculation.

6.4.4 Bursting at the longitudinal joints

The pressure of the soil generates bursting forces in the tunnel segment. For design purposes the allowable tensile stress of SFRC for these bursting loads is as stated in above.

6.4.5 Section forces generated by ground loads

The section forces generated by the underground loads shall be provided by the design engineer. The M – N interactive curve of a steel fibre reinforced concrete section is calculated according to the principles of the European standard “Eurocode 2 – Design of concrete structures, Part 2: Plain or lightly reinforced concrete structures”.

6.4.6 Non Linear Finite Element Method (NLFEM)

The NLFEM approach could be used to determine stress induced in the structure.

The behaviour of segmental tunnel linings during the thrust jack phase has been investigated by means of numerical non-linear simulations that enable to take into account the toughness provided by fibre reinforcement. For each project, a feasibility study can be provided on request.

Example of input to be provided by the design engineer for a feasibility study

**Geometry of the Tunnel Segments**
- Thickness: \( t = 250 \text{ mm} \)
- Intrados Radius: \( R = 1750 \text{ mm} \)
- Angle: \( \alpha = 90^\circ \)
- Width: \( w = 1300 \text{ mm} \)

**Loads**
- Demoulding Stacking

- Dynamic load safety factor: \( Y_d = 3.0 \)
- Static load safety factor: \( Y_1 = 1.35 \)
**Table: Material Characteristics**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>In-service compressive strength $f_{ck} = 60$ MPa</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stripping compressive strength $f_{ck} = 20$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slacking compressive strength $f_{ck} = 25$ MPa</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fibre type** Dramix® 4D 80/60BG

- **Performance class** 80
- **Length** 60 mm
- **Diameter** 0.75 mm
- **Dosage** 30 kg/m³

**Steel Fibre Reinforced Concrete**

- **Post crack mean flexural strength $F_{m} = 4,52$ MPa**
- **Material safety factor: $Y_{s} = 1.5$**

**Steel reinforcement**

- **Design steel strength: $f_{y} = 435$ MPa**
- **As₁: diameter = 14 mm**
- **Pitch = 90 mm**

**Section Forces Generated by Ground Loads**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Load Case</th>
<th>Service Loads</th>
<th>Accidental Loads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0+800</td>
<td>N (kN/m)</td>
<td>M (kN/m)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+700</td>
<td>-882</td>
<td>-30.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2+400</td>
<td>-4,334</td>
<td>-54.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3+700</td>
<td>-2,749</td>
<td>-13.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+300</td>
<td>-2,467</td>
<td>-100.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6+800</td>
<td>-2,747</td>
<td>-41.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrest rain</td>
<td>-153</td>
<td>-68.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Un+Water</td>
<td>-1,882</td>
<td>-83.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**8. Fire Protection**

**Fire resistance tests on SFRC segments** have recently been carried out in Europe. They showed that metallic fibres are an improvement over reinforced concrete, which, due to the thermal conductivity of the reinforcements, causes rapid bursting of the exposed concrete. Splintering, although unavoidable, is limited as compared to reinforced concrete.

Just as with conventional concrete segments, the addition of polypropylene microfibres to the composition of the SFRC considerably reduces the risk of splintering.

The combination of metallic fibres and polypropylene microfibres therefore constitutes an adequate solution for improved fire resistance.

The Channel Tunnel Rail Link (CTRL) is a major new railway for over a century - a high-speed line running for 108 km (68 miles) between the Channel Tunnel and central London. The project includes over 40 kilometres of 7,15 meter diameter, precast concrete lined bored tunnels, sections of which are to be constructed in water bearing sand.

An important test program was conducted to evaluate different mix with monofilament and fibrillated polypropylene fibre.

**Main point of conclusion**

“The inclusion of 1 kg/m³ of monofilament polypropylene fibres in the high strength, low permeability mixes tests significantly reduced the risk of explosive spalling when exposed to the severe hydrocarbon fibres.”

**Our proposal for fire resistance:**

- **PP fibre type**
  - Tensile strength = RM NOM ≥ 250 N/mm²
  - Melting point: 165°C
  - Density < 950 kg/m³
  - E module ≥ 3,500 N/mm²
  - Length: 5mm - 7 mm
  - Diameter < 20 μm (recommended)

- **Dosage:** 1 kg to 1.5 kg/m³

- The main benefits of pp for fire resistance concluded for monofilament, short and thin fibres as Duomix® M6 Fire.
9. Green solution

- Using less steel for the same strength compared to rebar
- Using at least 20% recycled steel in the production process
- Producing in ISO 14001 certified plants
- Creating green products
- Allowing to build durable structures

Comparison of Rebar / mesh and Dramix®

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Comparison</th>
<th>Concrete</th>
<th>Rebar / mesh</th>
<th>Dramix®</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Energy consumption</td>
<td>2,89</td>
<td>2,89</td>
<td>2,89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reinforcement</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reduction of energy</td>
<td>5,14</td>
<td>3,79</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dramix® steel fibres, used to reinforce concrete constructions, allow for a reduction in the energy consumed per cubic meter of concrete, by 26%, when compared to the classical steel rebar solution.

10. Main points of specification

10.1. Fibres

- Fibres should comply with the European Standard EN14889-1 and ISO 13270.
- Fibres with CE marking, system 1 (Fibres for structural use)
- Fibres out of drawn wire, with a tensile strength of the steel wire > 1 500 MPa
- Dimensional tolerances according to EN14889-1 and the new ISO 13270 standard for steel fibre
- Fibre length: 50 or 60 mm
- I/d ratio 80 is recommended
- Galvanised min. 30 g/m²

Based on our experience we recommend for this application a fibre with the following minimum material properties:

- Tensile strength: Rm, nom: 1 800 N/mm²
- Young's Modulus: = 210 000 N/mm
- Geometry: length = 60 mm and diameter = 0,75 mm

The Dramix® 4D series is designed with optimal serviceability and performance in mind. Its tensile strength and anchorage are engineered specifically to be used in high strength concrete. The high tensile strength of 1 800 N/mm², in combination with the special 4D end hook makes this fibre ideal for concrete classes used in precast segments. High strength concrete + high tensile wire + optimised 4D hook give exceptional post crack behaviour. Dramix® 4D 60/60BG will be the cost effective solution for the future precast tunnel segment. Nevertheless, in order to obtain the desired results, it is worth noting the necessity to develop an accurate study of the material, i.e. the fibre typology suitable to a peculiar matrix.

10.2. Fibre Concrete

- Glued fibres to ensure a good distribution and homogeneity in the concrete.
- Steel fibres have to be added by an automatic dosing system
- Type of concrete: C50/60

10.3. Performance

10.3.1 Minimum overlap according to McKee Theory

Minimum dosages of steel fibres based on different aspect ratios & steel fibre spacing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Aspect ratio (h/d=A)</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>50</th>
<th>60</th>
<th>65</th>
<th>68</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overlap factor = 1,66 : min dosage (kg/m³)</td>
<td>&gt;50</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overlap factor = 2,0 : min dosage (kg/m³)</td>
<td>&gt;80</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

10.3.2 Method of characterization – pre-construction test

Min. average residual flexural strength is measured with standard EN14651 “Test method for metallic fibered concrete”.

Overlap %: fR3 is the residual flexural tensile strength corresponding with CMOD = 0,5 mm

Overlap %: fR3 is the residual flexural tensile strength corresponding with CMOD = 2,5 mm

A minimum characteristic value fR1 and fR3 should be indicated according to the performance required by the project

A minimum ratio fR1/fR3 should be indicated > 0,9
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12. Reference Project Overview: Segmental Lining

This overview shows only some of our globally spread projects, a large & detailed reference list is available upon request: contact@bm-underground.com
Benefit from our full service

For the concrete mix design, we take into account all relevant standards, as well as the specific load bearing requirements of your tunnel project. This will result in a specific steel fibre dosage tailored to your project, which guarantees the most economical and qualitative result.

Dramix® steel fibres are submitted to severe quality control according to international standards, including ISO 9001 and ISO 14001.

On top of the steel fibre quality control, dedicated tests for the entire steel fibre concrete mix are performed in controlled testing laboratories. We have our own concrete lab available to support you.

Thanks to our worldwide network, we are able to offer on-site support virtually anywhere. We also offer dedicated dosing equipment, which allows contractors to save time and work with the highest precision.

Our global experts bundle many years of tunnel construction experience. They closely monitor the latest developments in the field and regularly contribute their knowledge and opinion to the experts’ community.